

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,058	SMITH, NOEL G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura Edwards	1734	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Laura Edwards.

(3) _____.

(2) Voicemail of Anthony Bourget.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 January 2006

Time: Afternoon

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

All in general

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Acknowledged typographical error in the restriction requirement mailed 10/6/05 citing claims 81 and 82 both in Groups IV and VIII. Noted that examination of Group IV would include only claims 29-71 as multiple independent inventions would be examined in that grouping alone. Claims 81 and 82 would remain in a separate grouping, namely to Group VIII .